

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/745,289	ROMERO ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
BARBARA N. BURGESS	2457	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Appeal Brief

(1) BARBARA N. BURGESS. (3) _____.

(2) Chad Thorson. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 July 2009

Time: Morning

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 13, 14, 17, 21, 26, 37, 38, 39, 50

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative Chad Thorson to propose amendments and claim cancellations in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant agreed to cancel claims 1, 14, 17, 21, 26, 50 and their dependent claims. As well, Applicant agreed to amend claims 37-39 to avoid 101 issues and maintain consistency with claim 13. Authorization was given to Examiner to perform an Examiner's Amendment. The Examiner's Amendment is submitted herewith. .